

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,264	07/23/2003	-	David Lewis	306812005500	9589
	03/2//2004		EXAMINER		NER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105-2482				ABRAHAM, FETSUM	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			•	2826	
4.°		-	=	DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	· ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	10/626,264	LEWIS, DAVID					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	et av vivi i angeles mes es les innes nombres interes en transcribété mes est abordinare en tripe en entre en	Fetsum Abraham	. 2826:					
P	Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh et with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
S	tatus							
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
		action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Di	sposition of Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
			¥-					
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.		•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	n from consideration.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
A	plication Papers							
ĺ	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	· ·	2					
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accer	nted or h) objected to by the	Evenines					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
·								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Wil How								
Att	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /							
1) [	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. A) 🗍 Internations O	(DTO 440)					
2)/[	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	y (PTO-413) Date					
3} L	☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
e D.	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/626,264

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification fails to fully describe said shared diffusion regions. Said collector nodes (140,142,144) seem to be electrode wiring of the active transistor regions according to the drawings and their "shared diffusion" nature can not be visualized from the drawings in the absence of full teaching in the specification. There should have been at least one complete teaching and a drawing to describe the expression. In addition, said gates disposed on said shared diffusion regions between said fingers is not understood well in relation to the teachings in the specification or the drawings forwarded by applicant.

There is nothing in the specification that teaches well on said "fingers" based on the provided drawings. The examiner could not relate said fingers to anything in the drawings and the gates having two fingers without detailing what and where the fingers are did not give the examiner adequate enablement to examine the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Art Unit: 2826

examiner should be directed to Fetsum Abraham whose telephone number is: 571-272-1911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached at 571-272-1915.

Fetgum/Abraham//5/23/04